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#### Abstract

We introduce a construction of a uniform measure over a functional class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ which is similar to a Besov class with smoothness index $r$. We then consider the problem of approximating $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ using a manifold $M_{n}$ which consists of all linear manifolds spanned by $n$ ridge functions, i.e., $M_{n}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(a_{i} \cdot x\right): a_{i} \in S^{d-1}\right.$, $\left.g_{i} \in L_{2}([-1,1])\right\}, x \in B^{d}$. It is proved that for some subset $A \subset \mathscr{B}^{r}$ of probabilistic measure $1-\delta$, for all $f \in A$ the degree of approximation of $M_{n}$ behaves asymptotically as $1 / n^{r /(d-1)}$. As a direct consequence the probabilistic $(n, \delta)$-width for nonlinear approximation denoted as $d_{n, \delta}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right)$, where $\mu$ is a uniform measure over $\mathscr{B}^{r}$, is similarly bounded. The lower bound holds also for the specific case of approximation using a manifold of one hidden layer neural networks with $n$ hidden units. © 1999 Academic Press


## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the problem of approximating a functional class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ similar to a Besov class using a manifold of ridge functions $M_{n}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(a_{i} \cdot x\right)\right.$ : $\left.a_{i} \in S^{d-1}, g_{i} \in L_{2}([-1,1])\right\}$, defined on the unit ball $B^{d}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|x\|_{2}:=\right.$ $\left.\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 1\right\}$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Here $S^{d-1}=\left\{x \in B^{d}:\|x\|_{2}=1\right\}$ is the

[^0]unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The degree of approximation of $f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}$ by $M_{n}$ in the space $L_{2}$ is defined by the expression
$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)=\inf _{h \in M_{n}}\|f-h\|_{L_{2}},
$$
where $\|f\|_{L_{2}}$ denotes the $L_{2}$-norm of $f$ on $B^{d}$.
Vostrecov and Kreines [27] and Lin and Pinkus [8, 9] studied issues of fundamentality of ridge functions in functional spaces. The specific case in which the ridge functions are sigmoidal, e.g., $g_{i}(y)=\sigma(y)=1 / 1+e^{-y}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and translations are permitted corresponds to a manifold $\mathscr{H}_{n}=$ $\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \sigma\left(a_{i} \cdot x+b_{i}\right): a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, of one hidden layer neural networks with $n$ hidden units. There have been many investigations concerning the approximation properties of $\mathscr{H}_{n}$, e.g., Barron [1], Mhaskar [15], Girosi et al. [4-6], DeVore et al. [2], Petrushev [19], and Maiorov and Meir [13].

Recently a series of results was obtained for estimates of approximation of functions by the ridge-manifold $M_{n}$ in the two-dimensional case, $d=2$ (see Oskolkov [18], and Temlyakov [23]). In particular, Oskolkov showed that for $d=2$ the orders of approximation of radial functions by the ridge-manifold $M_{n}$ and by the space of algebraic polynomials of degree $n$ coincide. In Maiorov [12], the asymptotic behavior of the distance

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(W_{2}^{r, d}, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \asymp n^{-r /(d-1)}
$$

for the Sobolev class $W_{2}^{r, d}, d \geqslant 2$, was obtained.
In this work we are interested in assessing how massive is the subset of functions in $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ such that for all functions in this subset a certain degree of approximation holds. In order to formalize the statement that a high percentage of the functions in $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ are approximated by $M_{n}$ to a certain degree we construct a uniform measure over $\mathscr{B}^{r}$. The volume of a subset $A$ of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is equipped with a uniform probability measure is proportional to the probability of $A$. Similarly if $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ is equipped with the uniform measure then a subset in $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ of high probability is interpreted as being massive in the sense of occupying almost all of $\mathscr{B}^{r}$.

To proceed we first construct a uniform measure $\mu$ over $\mathscr{B}^{r}$. We then calculate lower and upper bounds on the degree of approximation by $M_{n}$ which holds for all functions in some subset $A \subset \mathscr{B}^{r}$ of probability $1-\delta$. Specifically we obtain a degree of approximation such that for some $A \subset \mathscr{B}^{r}$, with $\mu(A) \geqslant 1-e^{-\alpha(n)}$ and $\alpha(n)=c_{0} n^{d /(d-1)}$, then for all $f \in A$, $c_{1} / n^{r /(d-1)} \leqslant \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \leqslant c_{2} / n^{r /(d-1)}$, for some constants $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ depending on $r$ and $d$, but not on $n$.

In [12], upper and lower bounds on the distance

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(W_{2}^{r, d}, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)=\sup _{f \in W_{2}^{r 2}} \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)
$$

for a Sobolev class $W_{2}^{r, d}$ were obtained. However, this type of result only guarantees the existence of a function in $W_{2}^{r, d}$ for which the lower bound holds. That is, it is a "worst case" result. In this work we extend that result by obtaining tight lower and upper bounds that hold for all functions in a subset of large measure in $\mathscr{B}^{r}$.

As a consequence, we obtain asymptotically tight lower and upper bounds on the distance between $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ and $M_{n}$ measured by a probabilistic $(n, \delta)$-width which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n, \delta}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right)=\inf _{\substack{A \subset \mathscr{B} \boldsymbol{g}^{r} \\ \mu(A)=1-\delta}} \sup _{f \in A} \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant \delta \leqslant 1$ and the infimum runs over all subsets $A$ of $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ with probability $\mu(A)=1-\delta$. From the construction of the class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ one can see that for any $0 \leqslant \delta \leqslant 1$ there exists a subset $A \in \mathscr{B}^{r}$ such that $\mu(A)=1-\delta$. Quantities similar to (1) were considered in [25,11,14] where $\mu$ was taken to be a Gaussian or Wiener measure and the approximation was linear.

From (1) the next inverse formulation follows

$$
\mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant d_{n, \delta}\right\}=\delta,
$$

where $d_{n, \delta}=d_{n, \delta}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right)$. Indeed, from (1) it follows that there exists the subset $A$ in $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ such that $\mu(A)=1-\delta$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant d_{n, \delta}\right\} \\
& \quad=\mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant \sup _{h \in A} \operatorname{dist}\left(h, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant d_{n, \delta}\right\}=\mu\left\{\mathscr{B}^{r} \backslash A\right\}=1-\mu(A)=\delta .
$$

The main contributions of this paper are threefold: (i) the construction of a uniform measure over a functional class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ which is similar to a Besov class. (ii) Proving a lower bound on the degree of approximation by ridge functions which holds for all functions in some subset of $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ of probability measure $1-\delta$ with respect to the uniform measure. (iii) Introducing a probabilistic width $d_{n, \delta}$ for nonlinear approximation and estimating $d_{n, \delta}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right)$ for a uniform measure $\mu$.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by introducing some notation. For an integer $m \geqslant 1$ let $\mathbb{Z}_{m}=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. Consider the ball of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ denoted by $B^{m}(r)=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}:\|x\|_{2} \leqslant r\right\}$ and set $B^{m}=B^{m}(1)$. For a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \operatorname{sgn}(z)=$ $\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{sgn}\left(z_{m}\right)\right), \operatorname{sgn}\left(z_{i}\right)=1$ for $z_{i} \geqslant 0, \operatorname{sgn}\left(z_{i}\right)=-1$ for $z_{i}<0$. We denote by $\|v\|_{l_{p}^{m}}$ or simply $\|v\|_{p}, p \geqslant 1$, the $l_{p}^{m}$ Euclidean norm of $v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. For any Euclidean sets $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ we use a distance function $\operatorname{dist}\left(a, B, l_{p}^{m}\right)$ $=\inf _{b \in B}\|a-b\|_{l_{p}^{m}}$ for any $a \in A$, and $\operatorname{dist}\left(A, B, l_{p}^{m}\right)=\sup _{a \in A} \operatorname{dist}\left(a, B, l_{p}^{m}\right)$.

Define the space of functions

$$
L_{2}=L_{2}\left(B^{d}\right)=\left\{f:\|f\|_{L_{2}}:=\left(\int_{B^{d}}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

We write $\int_{B^{d}} f(x) d x$ where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$, and $d x=d x_{1} \cdots d x_{d}$.
The notation $a_{n} \asymp b_{n}$ in this paper means that there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ which depend only on the smoothness parameter $r$ of the class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ and the dimensionality $d$ of the domain $B^{d}$ such that for every $n \geqslant 1$, $c_{1} \leqslant a_{n} / b_{n} \leqslant c_{2}$.

We define the class of functions $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ using the classical means of approximation, namely, algebraic polynomials. Consider the space $\mathscr{P}_{s}=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots x_{d}^{k_{d}}:|k|=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{d} \leqslant s\right\}, \quad s=0,1, \ldots, \quad$ consisting of all algebraic polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of total degree at most $s$. Let $\mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots x_{d}^{k_{d}}:|k|=s\right\}$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{P}_{s}$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials of degree $s$. Set $m_{s}=\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}$. It is known (cf. [22]) that $m_{s}=$ $\binom{d+s-1}{d-1} \asymp s^{d-1}$.

Let the set of polynomials $Q_{s}=\left\{q_{l}\right\}_{l}^{m_{s}}{ }_{1}$ be a basis in $\mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}$. The set of polynomials $\bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty} Q_{s}$ is a complete system of functions in the space $L_{2}$. Using the method of orthogonalization in $L_{2}$ we can construct a complete orthogonal system of polynomials in $L_{2}$

$$
P=\bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty}\left\{p_{s, 1}, \ldots, p_{s, m_{s}}\right\}
$$

such that the set $P_{s}^{h}=\left\{p_{s, 1}, \ldots, p_{s, m_{s}}\right\}$ is a complete orthonormal system of functions in the subspace $\mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}$. Note in particular that in [12] we constructed one specific orthonormal system of algebraic polynomials in $L_{2}$.

For any natural $N$ we denote the set of multi-indexes

$$
\Delta_{N}=\left\{(s, l): s=2^{N}+1, \ldots, 2^{N+1}, l=1, \ldots, m_{s}\right\} .
$$

Introduce the subspace $\Phi_{N}=\operatorname{span}\left\{p_{s, l}:(s, l) \in \Delta_{N}\right\}$. Let $G_{N}^{r}, r>0$, be the ball with radius $2^{-r N}$ in the space $\Phi_{N}$, that is,

$$
G_{N}^{r}=\left\{\sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}} c_{s, l} p_{s, l} \in \Phi_{N}:\left(\sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}}\left|c_{s, l}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 2^{-r N}\right\} .
$$

Denote by $\mathscr{B}^{r}$, the set of all functions $f \in L_{2}\left(B^{d}\right)$ which can represented as infinite sums of functions from $G_{N}^{r}$, namely

$$
\mathscr{B}^{r}=\left\{f: f=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} f_{N}, f_{N} \in G_{N}^{r}, N=0,1, \ldots\right\} .
$$

It is not hard to see that the class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ is essentially equivalent to the class $H^{r}$, consisting of all functions $f$ for which the best approximation by algebraic polynomials of degree $2^{N}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, \mathscr{P}_{2^{N}}, L_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{-r N} \quad(N=0,1, \ldots) .
$$

From Jackson's Theorem (see [24]), it follows that the Sobolev class $W_{2}^{r, d}$ belongs to the class $H^{r}$ and hence also to the class $c \mathscr{B}^{r}$, for some constant $c$. Observe also that the latter class (discussed also in [23]) is analogous to the Besov class [26] which is defined using trigonometric polynomials.

As an approximating function class we will use the following nonlinear manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=\left\{h(x)=\sum_{l=1}^{n} h_{l}\left(a_{l} \cdot x\right): a_{l} \in S^{d-1}, h_{l} \in L_{2}([-1,1])\right\} \quad\left(x \in B^{d}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents the union of all linear manifolds that are spanned by $n$ ridge functions from the space $L_{2}([-1,1])$ of square-integrable functions on the segment $[-1,1]$.

## 3. UNIFORM MEASURE CONSTRUCTION

The construction of a uniform measure over a functional class is nontrivial. For example, it is not possible to construct such a measure over a Sobolev or Besov class. For this reason we consider the class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ which permits such a construction.

Let $P=\left\{p_{s, l}\right\}$ be a complete system of orthonormal polynomials in $L_{2}$, as constructed in Section 2. Then we can express the class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{B}^{r}=\left\{f \in L_{2}: f(x)=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}} c_{s, l} p_{s, l}(x),\right. \\
&\left.\left(\sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}}\left|c_{s, l}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 2^{-r N}, \text { for all } N \geqslant 0\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the subspace $\Phi_{N}=\operatorname{span}\left\{p_{s, l}:(s, l) \in \Delta_{N}\right\}$. We have that $\Phi_{N}$ is orthogonal to $\Phi_{N^{\prime}}$, for all $N \neq N^{\prime}$, and $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ is isomorphic to the set $D^{r}$ of inifinite sequences of finite dimensional vectors, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{B}^{r} & \simeq D^{r}:=\prod_{N=0}^{\infty} B^{\left|\Delta_{N}\right|}\left(2^{-r N}\right) \\
& :=\left\{c=\left(c^{0}, \ldots, c^{N}, \ldots\right): c^{N} \in B^{\left|\Delta_{N}\right|}\left(2^{-r N}\right)\right\}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c^{N}:=\left(c_{s, l}\right)_{(s, l) \in \Delta_{N}}$, and $\left|\Delta_{N}\right|$ is the cardinality of $\Delta_{N}, N \geqslant 0$.
Note that the cardinality of $\Delta_{N}$ satisfies the asymptotic

$$
\left|\Delta_{N}\right|=\sum_{s=2^{N}+1}^{2^{N+1}} \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}=\sum_{s=2^{N}+1}^{2^{N+1}} m_{s} \asymp \sum_{s=2^{N}+1}^{2^{N+1}} s^{d-1} \asymp 2^{d N} .
$$

Let $b_{n} \equiv B^{\left|\Delta_{n}\right|}\left(2^{-r n}\right)$ be the ball of radius $2^{-r n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\left|A_{n}\right|}$, and denote the volume of $b_{n}$ by $\operatorname{vol}\left(b_{n}\right)$. Let $v_{n}\left(d c^{n}\right)=d c^{n} / \operatorname{vol}\left(b_{n}\right)$ be the normed Lebesgue measure on $b_{n}, v_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)=1$, and

$$
D_{N}^{r}=\prod_{n=0}^{N} b_{n} .
$$

For $c=\left(c^{0}, \ldots, c^{N}\right) \in D_{N}^{r}$ define the measure on $D_{N}^{r}$ as

$$
\lambda_{N}(d c)=\prod_{n=0}^{N} v_{n}\left(d c^{n}\right) .
$$

Now, let $B \subset D_{N}^{r}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{N+1}\left(B \times b_{N+1}\right) & =\int_{B \times b_{N+1}} \lambda_{N}(d c) v_{N+1}\left(d c^{N+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\prod_{n=0}^{N} \operatorname{vol}\left(b_{n}\right)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(b_{N+1}\right)} \int_{B \times b_{N+1}} d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\prod_{n=0}^{N+1} \operatorname{vol}\left(b_{n}\right)} \int_{B} \int_{b_{N+1}} d y d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which equals $\operatorname{vol}_{(B)} / \prod_{n=0}^{N} \operatorname{vol}_{\left(b_{n}\right)}=\lambda_{N}(B)$. It follows from the Kolmogorov Extension of Measure Theorem (see, for example, Shiryayev [21, Theorem 3, and observation, p. 163]) that there exists a unique probability measure $\lambda$ on $D^{r}$ such that for every $B \subset D_{N}^{r}$

$$
\lambda\left(\left(c^{0}, \ldots, c^{N}, \ldots\right) \in D^{r}:\left(c^{0}, \ldots, c^{N}\right) \in B\right)=\lambda_{N}(B) .
$$

This uniform measure $\lambda$ on $D^{r}$ induces a uniform measure $\mu$ on $\mathscr{B}^{r}$, which will now be used to establish our main result.

## 4. MAIN RESULTS

Let $r>0$ and an integer $d \geqslant 1$ be given. Fix an integer $n \geqslant 1$, and set $\alpha(n)=c_{1} n^{d /(d-1)}$, for some constant $c_{1}>0$ depending only on $r$ and $d$. Let $\mu$ be the uniform measure over $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ constructed in Section 3 .

## Theorem 1.

$$
\mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{c_{2}}{n^{r /(d-1)}}\right\} \geqslant 1-e^{-\alpha(n)}
$$

for some constant $c_{2}>0$ depending only on $r$ and $d$.
Theorem 2. For all $f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}$

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{c_{3}}{n^{r /(d-1)}},
$$

where $c_{3}>0$ is some constant depending only on $r$ and $d$.
From Theorems 1 and 2 we have the following corollary which estimates the probabilistic width defined in (1).

Corollary 1. Let $0 \leqslant \delta<1-2 e^{-\alpha(n)}$. Then

$$
\frac{c_{2}}{n^{r /(d-1)}} \leqslant d_{n, \delta}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{c_{3}}{n^{r /(d-1)}}
$$

for some constants $c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ depending only on $r$ and $d$.
Indeed let $0 \leqslant \delta<1-2 e^{-\alpha(n)}$ be any number. Then for any set $A \subset \mathscr{B}^{r}$ with the measure $\mu(A)=1-\delta$ we have $\mu(A) \geqslant 2 e^{-\alpha(n)}$. Therefore from

Theorem 1 it follows that there exists a function $f \in A$ such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant c_{2} n^{-r /(d-1)}$. Hence

$$
d_{n, \delta}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{c_{2}}{n^{r /(d-1)}} .
$$

The upper bound in Corollary 1 follows directly from Theorem 2.
We note that Traub et al. [25] consider also the so called average case setting which introduces the notion of an average distance with respect to a measure over a functional space in our case defined for $0<p<\infty$ as

$$
d_{n}^{a v g}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right)_{p}=\left(\int_{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}}\left|\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)\right|^{p} \mu(d f)\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

The following corollary follows easily from Theorems 1 and 2.

Corollary 2. For any $0<p<\infty$,

$$
\frac{c_{2}}{n^{r /(d-1)}} \leqslant d_{n}^{a v g}\left(\mathscr{B}^{r}, \mu, M_{n}\right)_{p} \leqslant \frac{c_{3}}{n^{r /(d-1)}}
$$

for some constants $c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ depending only on $r, d$, and $p$.
We proceed to prove Theorem 1, first stating several auxiliary lemmas. From the definition of the orthonormal system $P=\left\{p_{s, l}\right\}$ it follows that an $h \in M_{n}$ can be expressed as a sum $\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(s, l) \in A_{N}} c_{s, l}(h) p_{s, l}(x)$ with the coefficients, $c_{s, l}(h)=\left\langle h, p_{s, l}\right\rangle=\int_{B^{d}} h(x) p_{s, l}(x) d x$. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be some number, and $I \subset \Delta_{N}$ be any subset. Consider the set of sign-valued vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}^{I}:=\left\{\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(c_{s, l}(h)\right)_{(s, l) \in I}: h \in M_{n}\right\} .\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the next lemma which follows from Lemma 3 of [12].
Lemma 1. Assume that $N$ and $n$ are such that $\left|\Delta_{N}\right|=\left[c_{5} n^{d /(d-1)}\right]$, for some absolute constant $c_{5}>0$. Then for any subset $I \subset \Delta_{N}$ with $|I| \geqslant\left|\Delta_{N}\right| / 10$ we have

$$
\left|\Gamma_{n}^{I}\right| \leqslant 2^{c_{4}|I|} \leqslant 2^{c_{6} n^{l /(d-1)}},
$$

where $c_{4}=0.23$, and $c_{6}=c_{4} c_{5}$.
The next lemma then follows.

Lemma 2. Let $\left|\Delta_{N}\right|=\left[c_{5} n^{d /(d-1)}\right]$, and let $I \subset \Delta_{N},|I| \geqslant\left|\Delta_{N}\right| / 10$. Introduce the sets of sign-valued vectors $E^{|I|}=\{-1,+1\}^{|I|}$, and $\hat{E}^{|I|}=$ $\left\{\varepsilon \in E^{|I|}: \operatorname{dist}\left(\varepsilon, \Gamma_{n}^{I}, l_{2}^{|I|}\right) \geqslant 2 \sqrt{|I|} / 3\right\}$. Then

$$
\left|\hat{E}^{|I|}\right| \geqslant 2^{|I|}-2^{c_{7}|I|}
$$

for some absolute constant $0<c_{7}<1$.
Proof. Set $k=|I|$. From Lemma 1 it follows that the cardinality $\left|\Gamma_{n}^{I}\right| \leqslant 2^{c_{4} k}$. Fix any $\varepsilon^{*} \in E^{k}$. Denote by

$$
D_{\varepsilon^{*}}=\left\{\varepsilon \in E^{k}:\left\|\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{*}\right\|_{L_{2}^{k}}^{2} \geqslant \frac{4 k}{9}\right\} .
$$

Now $\left|D_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right|$ is independent of the specific choice of $\varepsilon^{*} \in E^{k}$. As such $\left|D_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right|=$ $\left|\left\{\varepsilon \in E^{k}:\|\varepsilon-\underline{1}\|_{l^{k}}^{2} \geqslant 4 k / 9\right\}\right|$ where $\underline{1}=[1, \ldots, 1] \in E^{k}$. The latter equals $\sum_{i \geqslant k / 9}\binom{k}{i}$ and is bounded from below by $2^{k}-2^{c_{8} k}, c_{8}=1-2(7 / 18)^{2}$ $\log _{2} e=0.55 \ldots$, where we used an upper bound on the tails of the binomial distribution (cf. [3]).

Set $\bar{D}_{\varepsilon}=E^{k} \backslash D_{\varepsilon}$. Then $\left|\bar{D}_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right|=\left|E^{k} \backslash D_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right| \leqslant 2^{c_{8} k}$. We also have $\hat{E}^{k}:=$ $\bigcap_{\varepsilon^{*} \in \Gamma_{n}^{I}} D_{\varepsilon^{*}}=E^{k} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon^{*} \in \Gamma_{n}^{I}} \bar{D}_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\left|\hat{E}^{k}\right| \geqslant\left|E^{k}\right|-\left|\bigcup_{\varepsilon^{*} \in \Gamma_{n}^{I}} \bar{D}_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right| \geqslant\left|E^{k}\right|-\left|\Gamma_{n}^{I}\right| 2^{c_{8} k} \geqslant 2^{k}-2^{c_{4} k} 2^{c_{8} k} .
$$

Set $c_{7}=c_{4}+c_{8}=0.78 \ldots$. Thus $\left|\hat{E}^{k}\right| \geqslant 2^{k}-2^{c_{7} k}$, which proves the lemma.

Definition 1. Let $B^{m}$ denote the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. For any set $A \subset B^{m}$ denote the volume of $A$ as $\operatorname{vol}(A)$. The uniform measure over the ball denoted by $v$ is defined such that for every $A \subset B^{m}, v(A)=\operatorname{vol}(A) / \operatorname{vol}\left(B^{m}\right)$.

Denote by

$$
A:=\left\{x \in B^{m}:\left|x_{k}\right|>\frac{3}{8 \sqrt{m}}, \text { for at least } \frac{m}{10} \text { coordinates } k\right\} .
$$

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3. For any $m \geqslant 1$

$$
v(A) \geqslant 1-3 e^{-c_{9} m}
$$

for some absolute constant $c_{9}>0$.

Proof. We aim at finding a lower bound on $v(A)$ by first expressing the measure of the set $A$ under the uniform measure over $B^{m}$ as the measure of another set under the Gaussian measure over $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Introduce the auxiliary set in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$

$$
\hat{A}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}:\left|x_{k}\right|>\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{m}}\|x\|_{2}, \text { for at least } \frac{m}{10} \text { coordinates } k\right\} .
$$

Denote by $B^{m}(\alpha, \beta):=B^{m}(\beta) \backslash B^{m}(\alpha)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(A) \geqslant v\left(B^{m}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \cap \hat{A}\right) \geqslant v\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\chi_{A}(x)$ denote the indicator function of the set $A$. Switching to polar coordinates we have, since $x \in \hat{A}$ implies $a x \in \hat{A}$ for all $a \neq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
v\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}\right) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B^{m}\right)} \int_{B^{m}} \chi_{\hat{A}}(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B^{m}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} r^{m-1} d r \int_{S^{m-1}} \chi_{\hat{A}}(s) d s \quad\left(s \in S^{m-1}\right), \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d s$ is the Lebesgue measure on $S^{m-1}$. Assume that $m$ is even (for $m$ odd the proof is analogous). The volume of the unit ball $\operatorname{vol}\left(B^{m}\right)=$ $\pi^{m / 2} /(m / 2)!$. It is known (cf. [17]) that $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m-1} e^{-x^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(m / 2)$. Hence it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B^{m}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} r^{m-1} d r=\pi^{-m / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{m-1} e^{-r^{2}} d r
$$

Therefore using once more polar coordinates we obtain from (7)

$$
\begin{align*}
v\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}\right) & =\pi^{-m / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{m-1} e^{-r^{2}} d r \int_{S^{m-1}} \chi_{\hat{A}}(s) d s \\
& =\pi^{-m / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \chi_{\hat{A}}(x) e^{-|x|^{2}} d x . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a Gaussian measure over $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ as $\gamma(G)=\pi^{-m / 2} \int_{G} e^{-|x|^{2}} d x, G \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$. From (8) it is seen that $v\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}\right)=\gamma(\hat{A})$. Let

$$
D=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}:\left|x_{k}\right| \geqslant \frac{3}{2}, \text { for at least } \frac{m}{10} \text { coordinates } k\right\} .
$$

Then it follows that

$$
v\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}\right)=\gamma(\hat{A}) \geqslant \gamma\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right) \geqslant \gamma\left(D \cap B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right),
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
v\left(\hat{A} \cap B^{m}\right) & \geqslant \gamma(D)+\gamma\left(B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right)-\gamma\left(D \cup B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right) \\
& \geqslant \gamma(D)+\gamma\left(B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right)-1 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{m}=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. Consider the subset in $D$

$$
D_{I}=\left\{x \in D:\left|x_{i}\right| \geqslant \frac{3}{2} \text { for all } i \in I,\left|x_{i}\right|<\frac{3}{2} \text { for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m} \backslash I\right\} .
$$

We have

$$
\gamma(D)=\sum_{I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{m}} \gamma\left(D_{I}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{m},|| |=l} \gamma\left(D_{I}\right) \geqslant \sum_{l=m / 10}^{m} \sum_{I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{m},|I|=l} \gamma\left(D_{I}\right) .
$$

For $|I|=l$

$$
\gamma\left(D_{I}\right)=p^{l}(1-p)^{m-l},
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{|t| \geqslant 3 / 2} e^{-t^{2}} d t=0.134 \equiv p .
$$

Hence from the definition of the Gaussian measure $\gamma$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(D) \geqslant \sum_{l=m / 10}^{m}\binom{m}{l} p^{l}(1-p)^{m-l}>1-e^{-c_{10} m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<c_{10}<1$ where we used a bound on the tail of the binomial distribution [3].

We now estimate $\gamma\left(B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right)$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right) \geqslant 1-e^{-c_{11} m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some absolute constant $c_{11}>0$.
Indeed using polar coordinates we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right) & =\pi^{-m / 2} \int_{B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})} e^{-|x|^{2}} d x \\
& =1-\pi^{-m / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})} e^{-|x|^{2}} d x \\
& =1-\pi^{-m / 2} d\left(S^{d-1}\right) \int_{2 \sqrt{m}}^{\infty} r^{m-1} e^{-r^{2}} d r,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d\left(S^{d-1}\right)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the sphere $S^{d-1}$. Using the substitution $r=\sqrt{m t / 2}$, and the estimate $\int_{8}^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{-k t} d r \leqslant(1 / 7 k) e^{-8 k} 8^{k+1 / 2}$, $k \geqslant 1$ (see [10, p. 471, form. (6.5)]), we obtain

$$
\int_{2 \sqrt{m}}^{\infty} r^{m-1} e^{-r^{2}} d r=\frac{1}{2}(m / 2)^{m / 2} \int_{8}^{\infty} t^{m / 2-1} e^{-(m / 2) t} d t \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(m / 2)^{m / 2} e^{-c_{11}^{\prime} m},
$$

where $c_{11}^{\prime}=4-\frac{3}{2} \ln 2$. Since $d\left(S^{d-1}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(B^{m}\right) / m=\pi^{m / 2} / m \Gamma(m / 2) \asymp \pi^{m / 2}$ $\times e^{m / 2} /\left(m(m / 2)^{m / 2} \sqrt{2 \pi m}\right)$, then

$$
\gamma\left(B^{m}(2 \sqrt{m})\right) \geqslant 1-\pi^{-m / 2} d\left(S^{d-1}\right) \frac{1}{2}(m / 2)^{m / 2} e^{-c_{11}^{\prime} m} \geqslant 1-e^{-c_{11} m},
$$

where $c_{11}=\frac{3}{2}(1-\ln 2)$.
Using (6), (9), (10), and (11) we obtain that

$$
v(A) \geqslant 1-e^{-c_{10} m}-e^{-c_{11} m}-2^{-m} \geqslant 1-3 e^{-c_{9} m},
$$

for absolute constant $c_{9}=\min \left\{c_{10}, c_{11}, \ln 2\right\}$.
We now proceed with finding a lower bound on the measure stated in Theorem 1.

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following observation. Let $m=\left|\Delta_{N}\right|$. In the space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, consider the set $E^{m}=\{-1,+1\}^{m}$ endowed with a uniform discrete measure $\alpha$, and let $\Gamma_{n}^{U_{N}}$ be the subset in $E^{m}$ defined in (5). From Lemma 2 it follows that the measure of elements in $E^{m}$ which are "badly" approximated by the manifold $\Gamma_{n}^{\Lambda_{N}}$, i.e., the $\alpha$ measure of set $G=\left\{\varepsilon \in E^{m}: \operatorname{dist}\left(\varepsilon, \Gamma_{n}^{A_{N}}, l_{2}^{m}\right) \geqslant 2 \sqrt{m} / 3\right\}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\alpha(G) \geqslant 1-2^{-c m}
$$

for $c>0$. This implies that almost all elements from $E^{m}$, in the sense of the induced probabilistic measure over $E^{m}$, are "badly" approximated by $\Gamma_{n}^{U_{N}}$. The statement of the theorem follows upon making use of the isomorphism (4).

We proceed with the detailed proof. Let $N^{*}>0$ be some integer which will be taken later to be sufficiently large. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)^{2} & =\inf _{h \in M_{n}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(s, l) \in \Delta_{N}}\left|c_{s, l}(f)-c_{s, l}(h)\right|^{2} \\
& \geqslant \inf _{h \in M_{n}} \sum_{(s, l) \in \Delta_{N^{*}}}\left|c_{s, l}(f)-c_{s, l}(h)\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu\{f & \left.\in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)>\varepsilon\right\} \\
& \geqslant \mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \inf _{h \in M_{n}} \sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N^{*}}}\left|c_{s, l}(f)-c_{s, l}(h)\right|^{2}>\varepsilon^{2}\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $m, N^{*}$ and $n$ be such that $m=\left|\Delta_{N^{*}}\right|=c_{5} n^{d /(d-1)}$. To any $h \in M_{n}$ there corresponds a vector $\hat{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}=\left(c_{s, l}(h)\right)_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N^{*}}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by

$$
\hat{M}_{n}=\left\{\hat{h}=\left(\hat{h}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{h}_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: h \in M_{n}\right\} .
$$

Due to the isomorphism statement of (4) the approximation problem is now reduced to approximation in an $m$-dimensional Euclidean space.

Let $\varepsilon=2^{r N^{*}} / 4$ in (12). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma:=\mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \inf _{h \in M_{n}} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_{N^{*}}}\left|c_{k}(f)-c_{k}(h)\right|^{2}>\varepsilon^{2}\right\} \\
& =v\left\{y \in B^{m}: \inf _{\hat{h} \in \hat{M}_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|y_{i}-\hat{h}_{i}\right|^{2}>\frac{1}{4}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Define the set

$$
Q_{I}=\left\{x \in B^{m}:\left|x_{i}\right| \geqslant \frac{3}{8 \sqrt{m}} \text {, for all } i \in I,\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant \frac{3}{8 \sqrt{m}} \text { for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m} \backslash I\right\} .
$$

From the definition of $Q_{I}$ we have $\bigcup_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}} Q_{I}=B^{m}$. Thus

$$
\Sigma=\sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}} v\left\{y \in Q_{I}: \inf _{\hat{h} \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|y_{i}-\hat{h}_{i}\right|^{2}>\frac{1}{4}\right\} .
$$

For all $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{m},|I| \geqslant m / 10$, and $y \in Q_{I}$ we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|y_{i}-\hat{h}_{i}\right|^{2} \geqslant \sum_{i \in I}\left|y_{i}-\hat{h}_{i}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{9}{64 m} \sum_{i \in I}\left|\frac{y_{i}}{\left|y_{i}\right|}-\frac{\hat{h}_{i}}{\left|y_{i}\right|}\right|^{2} .
$$

Denote by $\varepsilon_{i}(y)=y_{i} /\left|y_{i}\right|=\operatorname{sgn}\left(y_{i}\right)$. Then using the fact that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in\{-1,+1\}$ the inequality $|\delta-a| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}|\delta-\operatorname{sgn}(a)|$ holds we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|y_{i}-\hat{h}_{i}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{9}{256 m} \sum_{i \in I}\left|\varepsilon_{i}(y)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\hat{h}_{i}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

We then have for $b=64 / 9$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma & \geqslant \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I| \geqslant m / 10} v\left\{y \in Q_{I}: \inf _{\hat{h} \in \hat{M}_{n}} \sum_{i \in I}\left|\varepsilon_{i}(y)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\hat{h}_{i}\right)\right|^{2}>b m\right\} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} v\left\{y \in Q_{I}: \inf _{\hat{h} \in \hat{M}_{n}} \sum_{i \in I}\left|\varepsilon_{i}(y)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\hat{h}_{i}\right)\right|^{2}>b m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ let $E^{|I|}=\{-1,+1\}^{|I|}$. Define

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{|I|}=\left\{\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(\hat{h}_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}: h \in M_{n}\right\} .
$$

Denote by $\|y\|_{l_{2}^{I l}}=\left(\sum_{i \in I}\left|y_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}^{|I|}=\left\{\varepsilon \in E^{|I|}: \min _{\delta \in \Gamma_{n}^{|I|}}\|\varepsilon-\delta\|_{l_{2}^{l \mid}}^{2} \geqslant b m\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in E^{|I|}$ define the set

$$
Q_{I, \varepsilon}=\left\{y \in Q_{I}: \operatorname{sgn}\left(y_{i}\right)=\varepsilon_{i}, \text { for all } i \in I\right\} .
$$

Then continuing from above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma & \geqslant \sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} v\left\{y \in Q_{I}: \min _{\delta \in \Gamma_{n}^{|I|}}\|\varepsilon(y)-\delta\|_{l_{2}^{[I \mid}}^{2}>b m\right\} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E^{I I \mid}} v\left\{y \in Q_{I, \varepsilon}: \min _{\delta \in \Gamma_{n}^{|l|}}\|\varepsilon(y)-\delta\|_{l_{2}^{l \mid}}^{2}>b m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\hat{E}^{|I|} \subset E^{|I|}$ then

$$
\Sigma \geqslant \sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \hat{E}^{|l|}} v\left\{y \in Q_{I, \varepsilon}: \min _{\delta \in \Gamma_{n}^{|l|}}\|\varepsilon(y)-\delta\|_{l_{2}^{I \mid}}^{2}>b m\right.
$$

Now from (14) for all $\varepsilon \in \hat{E}^{|I|}$ the condition $\min _{\delta \in \Gamma^{I}}\|\varepsilon-\delta\|_{l_{2}^{I \mid}}^{2}>b m$ is satisfied. We therefore have

$$
\Sigma \geqslant \sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \hat{E}^{|I|}} v\left\{y \in Q_{I, \varepsilon}\right\} .
$$

Note that $v\left(y \in Q_{I, \varepsilon}\right)$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$. Denote by $a_{I}:=v\left\{y \in Q_{I, \varepsilon}\right\}$. Thus the latter becomes

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|| |=m / 10+j} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \hat{E}^{|I|}} a_{I}=\sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} a_{I}\left|\hat{E}^{|I|}\right| .
$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that for $I$ such that $|I|=m / 10+j$ the cardinality $\left|\hat{E}^{|I|}\right| \geqslant 2^{m / 10+j}-2^{c_{7}(m / 10+j)}$ for some constant $0<c_{7}<1$. We therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma & \geqslant \sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} a_{I} 2^{m / 10+j}\left(1-2^{-\left(1-c_{7}\right)(m / 10+j)}\right) \\
& \geqslant\left(1-2^{-\left(1-c_{7}\right) m / 10}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{9 m / 10} \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}:|I|=m / 10+j} a_{I} 2^{m / 10+j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a_{I} 2^{m / 10+j}=\left|E^{|I|}\right| a_{I}=v\left(Q_{I}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma \geqslant & \left(1-2^{-\left(1-c_{7}\right) m / 10}\right) \\
& \times v\left\{y \in B^{m}:\left|y_{k}\right|>\frac{3}{8 \sqrt{m}}, \text { for at least } \frac{m}{10} \text { coordinates } k\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3 we have

$$
\Sigma \geqslant\left(1-2^{-\left(1-c_{7}\right) m / 10}\right)\left(1-3 e^{-c_{9} m}\right) \geqslant 1-e^{-c_{12} m}
$$

for some absolute constants $c_{9}, c_{12}>0$. Finally, from before, $m=2^{d N^{*}}$ and $\rho=2^{-r N^{*}}$ then $\rho \asymp m^{-r / d}$. Also, the condition of Lemma 1 has $m=c_{5} n^{d /(d-1)}$ thus $\rho=c_{13} / n^{r /(d-1)}$ and therefore

$$
\mu\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}: \operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)>\frac{c_{13}}{4 n^{r /(d-1)}}\right\} \geqslant 1-e^{-\alpha(n)},
$$

where $\alpha(n)=c_{5} c_{11} n^{d /(d-1)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\mathscr{P}_{s}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}$ be as defined in Section 2. Choose $n$ such that $n=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}\right)$. Then from Proposition 2 of [12] it follows that $\mathscr{P}_{s} \subset M_{n}$. Let $N^{\prime}$ be the integer such that $2^{N^{\prime}-1} \leqslant s \leqslant 2^{N^{\prime}}$. Considering the definition of $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ we have for all $f \in \mathscr{B}^{r}, f(x)=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}} c_{s, l} p_{s, l}(x)$ and

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)^{2} \leqslant \operatorname{dist}\left(f, \mathscr{P}_{s}, L^{2}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left\|\sum_{N \geqslant N^{\prime}} \sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}} c_{s, l} p_{s, l}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} .
$$

Therefore from the Parseval equality and the definition of class $\mathscr{B}^{r}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right)^{2} & \leqslant \sum_{N \geqslant N^{\prime}}\left\|\sum_{(s, l) \in \Lambda_{N}} c_{s, l} p_{s, l}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{N \geqslant N^{\prime}} 2^{-2 r N} \leqslant c_{14} 2^{-2 r N^{\prime}}=c_{14} s^{-2 r},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c_{14}>0$. It is known (cf. [22]) that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{s}^{h}\right)=$ $\binom{d+s-1}{d-1} \asymp s^{d-1}$. Since $n \asymp s^{d-1}$ then $s \asymp n^{1 /(d-1)}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(f, M_{n}, L_{2}\right) \leqslant c_{15} n^{-r /(\mathrm{d}-1)},
$$

which proves the theorem.
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